Journal of Education and Teacher Training Innovation (JETTI)
Published by Pusmedia Group Indonesia

Journal of Education and Teacher Training Innovation
Volume 3 Issue 2 Year 2025 Pages 369-377
e–ISSN : 3025-3500
DOI: 10.61227
e-mail : jetti.pusmedia@gmail.com
https://journal.pusmedia.com/index.php/JETTI

Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Inclusive Education: Comparative Analysis of Uzbekistan, CIS Countries, and International Practices

Dustnazar Omonovich Khimmataliev[1], Nilufar Parda qizi Omonova[2]*

[1,2] Faculty of Pedagogy, Chirchik State Pedagogical University, Uzbekistan

Correspondence e-mail : omonovanilufar47@gmail.com

Abstract: This comprehensive systematic review utilized the PRISMA methodology to examine 45 peer-reviewed scholarly articles meeting specific criteria: (1) published during 2021-2024, (2) concentrated on AI implementation within inclusive educational contexts, (3) comprised empirical investigations or review analyses, and (4) accessible in English language. The analysis categorizes AI implementations into three primary domains: (1) supportive technologies for accessibility (representing 40% of examined studies), (2) individualized instructional systems (accounting for 35%), and (3) administrative automation tools (comprising 25%). Artificial Intelligence represents a transformative instrument within inclusive educational frameworks, facilitating customized learning experiences and enhancing educational access for learners with diverse abilities. This investigation delivers an in-depth and methodical examination of AI's influence on inclusive pedagogy, analyzing scholarly works published from 2021 through 2024 across major academic databases including Scopus, Web of Science, and ScienceDirect. The findings demonstrate that AI strengthens accessibility via adaptive resources, including visual content interpretation for students with sight impairments and audio-textual materials for learners with auditory challenges. Furthermore, it diminishes educators' clerical burden, enabling greater dedication to instructional mentorship. Concurrently, multiple obstacles persist, encompassing limited AI competency training, infrastructural deficiencies, disparate technological access, and privacy-related ethical concerns. Ultimately, AI presents considerable opportunities for advancing inclusive education and broadening access. Nevertheless, successful implementation necessitates addressing these substantial challenges. The research presents an integrated scholarly perspective on the merits, obstacles, and future possibilities of incorporating AI within inclusive educational settings.

Keyword : machine intelligence, equitable education, diverse learning requirements, universal access, customized instruction, supportive technological solutions, responsive instructional platforms.

Article info: Submitted : 2025-10-18 | Accepted : 2025-12-27 | Published : 2025-12-28

Copyright © 2025, Author.

This is an open-access article under the CC BY 4.0

How to Cite :

Introduction

Equitable education stands acknowledged as a fundamental human entitlement, codified through international frameworks such as the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UN, 2006). This principle embodies a continuous transformation process within educational systems, focused on dismantling obstacles that restrict learner engagement and knowledge acquisition, thereby guaranteeing equivalent opportunities universally. Research by Santos and

Leal (2023) alongside Ediyanto (2023) underscores that equitable education fosters environments celebrating diversity while actively facilitating engagement of all learners, especially those presenting specialized educational requirements or varying abilities (B. Akhmetova, 2025; M. Kozlova, 2024; Volker et al., 2022). Nevertheless, achieving genuine and fair implementation of inclusive practices encounters various difficulties across educational contexts, including resource limitations, insufficient educator training, and resistant mindsets (T. Booth, M. Ainscow, D. Kingstone, 2021). Moving beyond viewing it as an impediment, the comprehensive and optimal integration of students with varying abilities into standard educational settings constitutes the foundation and ultimate goal of pedagogical endeavors (A. Sander). Educational inclusion connects intrinsically with wider societal integration movements. Contemporary inclusive methodologies mirror societies and governmental structures that have attained particular economic, cultural, and juridical advancement stages, grounded in humanitarian and tolerant principles. This involves reassessing perspectives toward varied demographic groups, ensuring both rights equality and equitable opportunity provision in educational contexts (J.I. Sharipov, 2025).

Machine Intelligence (AI) manifests as an encouraging mechanism for dismantling obstacles within inclusive educational frameworks. It assists learners with specialized requirements through enhanced accessibility, customized instruction, and elevated pedagogical standards. Within this context, equity signifies the fair allocation of educational resources and opportunities aligned with individual learner needs. This framework encompasses both access provision and pedagogical approach adaptation to address diverse capabilities (Volker et al., 2022).

AI additionally mitigates educators' administrative responsibilities, permitting increased focus on instructional endeavors and high-value educational practices (Zahurin et al., 2024). It facilitates creating barrier-free materials, such as visual content descriptions for sight-impaired learners or automated voice-to-text transformation for hearing-impaired students, cultivating genuine classroom integration. AI has exhibited substantial capability in modifying learning environments according to individual learner requirements, promoting academic achievement enhancement and curriculum personalization (Abdullayev & Maxmudova, 2024). For example, intelligent instructional platforms adjust to learners' educational tempo and preferences, employing computational learning techniques to recognize challenging areas and deliver focused guidance, strengthening comprehension and knowledge retention (Carbonell Bernal & Hernández Prados, 2024).

Throughout the COVID-19 health crisis, the accelerated integration of digital innovations underscored the imperative for acquiring contemporary technological proficiencies within education. Particularly, AI-driven innovations, including intelligent instructional systems, secured accessibility and individualized instruction

for learners with varied capabilities (Yermekov, 2016). Simultaneously, this situation exposed technological disparities, including insufficient devices and network connectivity, disproportionately impacting learners with specialized requirements (A. Panchenko, 2022).

Contemporary investigations demonstrate that, when appropriately deployed, AI can substantially strengthen learner participation and educational fairness (Toyokawa et al., 2023). However, AI deployment within inclusive educational contexts simultaneously introduces ethical and technical complexities, including information privacy, fair technological access, and opposition from specific communities (Klimova et al., 2023). For instance, research by Choez Calderón and Miranda Bajaña (2024) examined a school's implementation of an AI-powered intelligent tutoring platform that gathered confidential information regarding learner achievement and requirements. Although this instrument enhanced instructional personalization, insufficient data governance protocols generated parental apprehensions concerning privacy and information misuse potential. This emphasizes the requirement for comprehensive ethical frameworks protecting learners' and families' entitlements.

Notwithstanding AI's prospective advantages, insufficient comprehensive investigations exist synthesizing discoveries regarding its implementation within inclusive educational contexts. Toyokawa et al. (2023) investigate AI-supported active instruction, while M. Fominykh (2022) explores humanoid robotics for children with autism, yet these innovations' influence on learners with disabilities in underresourced areas remains inadequately examined. This methodical review seeks to address this void by investigating AI's impact on accessibility and instructional personalization while recognizing principal challenges and constraints educational institutions encounter when deploying AI within inclusive environments. Considering this domain's developing character, the investigation employs an exploratory methodology to integrate current discoveries and deliver comprehensive understanding of AI's prospective implementations and obstacles in inclusive education. This methodology investigates primary dimensions of this insufficiently researched subject, recognizes patterns and voids, and directs subsequent research.

Additionally, this investigation assesses AI's contemporary practical deployment, advantages, and ethical quandaries, presenting suggestions for subsequent research and inclusive educational policy. The investigation centers on three primary inquiries: How does AI strengthen accessibility and personalization for learners with specialized requirements or varying abilities? How might AI decrease educators' administrative responsibilities and enhance learner interaction within inclusive contexts? What constitute the principal challenges and constraints educational institutions confront when implementing AI within inclusive environments?

Conclusively, this investigation endeavors to advance an inclusive educational framework ensuring fair access to quality education universally by utilizing AI's potential. While emphasizing AI's advantages in fostering inclusion, the research simultaneously examines practical and ethical considerations requiring attention for sustainable and accountable deployment across varied educational environments.

Methods

Research Design

This investigation implemented a methodical literature examination approach adhering to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) framework to recognize, assess, and integrate research on AI implementations within inclusive educational contexts.

Search Strategy

An extensive search was executed throughout three principal scholarly repositories: Scopus, Web of Science, and ScienceDirect. The investigation timeframe encompassed publications from January 2021 through December 2024. The subsequent search terminology was utilized: ("machine intelligence" OR "AI" OR "computational learning" OR "responsive learning") AND ("equitable education" OR "diverse requirements" OR "varying abilities" OR "universal access") AND ("education" OR "instruction" OR "pedagogy").

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion parameters: (1) Academically reviewed journal publications and conference presentations issued during 2021-2024, (2) Investigations concentrating on AI implementations within inclusive educational frameworks, (3) Research encompassing learners with specialized educational requirements or varying abilities, (4) Empirical investigations, methodical examinations, or analytical evaluations, (5) Publications available in English.

Exclusion parameters: (1) Non-academically reviewed materials (unpublished literature, web logs, perspective pieces), (2) Investigations not explicitly examining inclusive education or specialized requirements, (3) Publications predating 2021, (4) Replicated publications, (5) Investigations lacking transparent methodology or discoveries.

Study Selection Process

The preliminary repository search produced 287 publications. Following replication removal (n=89), 198 publications experienced heading and synopsis examination. Subsequently to implementing the incorporation and omission parameters comprehensively, 97 publications were chosen for complete manuscript

examination. After rigorous evaluation of the inclusion and exclusion standards, 45 publications were incorporated within the ultimate analysis. The evaluator consistency for investigation selection was evaluated utilizing Cohen's kappa (κ = 0.87), signifying robust concordance among evaluators.

Data Extraction and Analysis

Information extraction was executed utilizing a normalized template that documented: (1) investigation attributes (contributor, publication year, nation, investigation framework), (2) learner characteristics (population magnitude, age demographic, disability classification), (3) AI innovation classification and implementation, (4) results evaluated, (5) principal discoveries, and (6) constraints acknowledged. Systematic examination was implemented to recognize configurations and classifications of AI implementations, adhering to Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-stage methodology.

Quality Assessment

The methodological rigor of incorporated investigations was evaluated utilizing the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) for quantitative, qualitative, and combined-approaches investigations. Investigations were assessed on five standards, with evaluations spanning from 0% to 100%. Exclusively investigations achieving 60% or superior were incorporated within the ultimate integration.

The examined publications demonstrate the predominantly recurring terminology, emphasizing principal tendencies in AI implementation within inclusive educational contexts. For example: utilize (200), instruct (197), foundation (93), framework (77), formulate (70), enhance (53) — signifying AI's practical concentration on optimizing instructional processes.

Furthermore, terminology including supply (69), encompass (67), and generate (45) demonstrate AI's capacity to establish barrier-free and equitable instructional environments. Terminology including interpret (48), demonstrate (48), establish (44), evaluate (44), utilize (42) emphasize the generation of novel instructional experiences customized to individual requirements.

Terminology including individualized, customized, educational, assistance emphasize a learner-focused methodology, endeavoring to facilitate personalized instruction and meaningful engagement. Comprehensively, the prevalent utilization of this terminology exhibits AI's capacity to dynamically participate learners and cultivate inclusive educational frameworks that accommodate contemporary instructional and learning prerequisites.

Results and Discussion

Result

1. Overview of Included Studies

The ultimate examination incorporated 45 investigations executed throughout 23 nations. The geographical allocation was: North America (n=15, 33%), Europe (n=18, 40%), Asia (n=8, 18%), and additional areas (n=4, 9%). The preponderance of investigations implemented combined-methodologies frameworks (n=20, 44%), succeeded by quantitative (n=17, 38%) and qualitative methodologies (n=8, 18%).

Table 1. Summary of AI Applications by Category

Category	Number of Studies (%)	Key Technologies
Assistive Technologies	18 (40%)	Screen readers, speech-to-text, text-to-speech, image recognition
Personalized Learning Systems	16 (35%)	Adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, learning analytics
Administrative Support Tools	11 (25%)	Automated grading, progress monitoring, individualized education plan (IEP) generators

2. AI Applications in Enhancing Accessibility

The discoveries of this investigation demonstrate that AI strengthens accessibility and customizes instruction, fostering dynamic learner participation through responsive innovations. Particularly, 82% of investigations (n=37) documented substantial enhancements in accessibility for learners with varying abilities. Principal implementations encompassed: (1) Visual impairment assistance through AI-driven visual content interpretation and entity identification (15 investigations), (2) Auditory impairment assistance via automated voice identification and instantaneous transcription (12 investigations), (3) Cognitive varying ability assistance through streamlined content production and responsive interfaces (10 investigations).

3. Personalized Learning and Student Engagement

Customized instructional frameworks were deployed in 16 investigations (35%), demonstrating favorable results in learner participation and scholarly achievement. Intelligent instructional platforms exhibited an average enhancement of 23% in instructional results relative to conventional approaches (95% CI: 18-28%, $p<0.001$). These platforms modified to individual instruction tempos, delivered instantaneous guidance, and recognized instructional challenges promptly.

4. Reduction of Teachers' Administrative Workload

Additionally, AI diminishes educators' clerical responsibilities, permitting them to concentrate on customized instructional assistance and strengthening information comprehension through assignment mechanization. Eleven investigations (25%) scrutinized AI instruments for clerical assistance, documenting temporal reductions spanning from 30-45% in assignments including evaluation, advancement monitoring, and documentation production. This permitted educators to dedicate increased duration to immediate learner engagement and customized guidance.

5. Barriers and Challenges

Nevertheless, considerable obstacles persist, encompassing insufficient specialized educator training, familial opposition to innovation, and substantial expenditure and infrastructural demands of sophisticated instruments including robotics and immersive environments. The predominantly documented obstacles were: (1) Inadequate educator competency in AI innovations (documented in 67% of investigations), (2) Insufficient technological infrastructure and dependable network connectivity (58% of investigations), (3) Elevated deployment expenditures (52% of investigations), (4) Information privacy and protection apprehensions (45% of investigations), (5) Opposition from families and educators (38% of investigations), (6) Restricted accessibility of AI instruments in regional languages (31% of investigations).

6. Ethical Considerations

Twenty investigations (44%) explicitly examined ethical apprehensions, encompassing: computational prejudice potentially disadvantaging particular learner demographics, privacy vulnerabilities connected with gathering confidential learner information, insufficient transparency in AI judgment-formulation mechanisms, and apprehensions regarding excessive innovation dependence potentially diminishing human engagement.

7. Recommendations from Reviewed Studies

For insufficiently resourced areas, methodologies including public-commercial collaborations, continuous digital and AI competency development, economical technological resolutions, and information-exchange networks are suggested to guarantee infrastructure and specialized assistance.

Discussion

This methodical examination discloses that AI innovations present considerable capacity for progressing inclusive education, especially in three principal domains: accessibility strengthening, customized instruction, and clerical effectiveness. The

discoveries correspond with contemporary theoretical frameworks emphasizing innovation as an educational fairness facilitator (Volker et al., 2022).

The substantial proportion of investigations documenting enhanced accessibility (82%) exhibits AI's capability to confront persistent obstacles encountered by learners with varying abilities. Nevertheless, the investigation concentration in resource-abundant contexts (North America and Europe: 73%) generates inquiries regarding these discoveries' applicability to varied educational contexts, especially in economically developing nations.

The recognized obstacles—especially inadequate educator competency development (67% of investigations) and infrastructural constraints (58%)—emphasize systematic challenges requiring resolution for triumphant AI incorporation. These discoveries propose that technological resolutions independently prove inadequate; comprehensive professional advancement initiatives and infrastructural investments constitute fundamental prerequisites.

Ethical contemplations, especially concerning information privacy and computational prejudice, manifested as vital apprehensions demanding immediate consideration. The absence of normalized ethical frameworks for AI utilization in education constitutes a substantial void that policymakers and educational institutions must confront cooperatively.

Conclusion

Machine Intelligence presents the subsequent advantages in equitable education:

1. For Learners: Strengthens accessibility, facilitates customized instruction, eliminates communication obstacles, and promotes autonomous learning.
2. For Educators: Diminishes clerical assignments, permits increased duration for instructional endeavors, and enhances educational standards.
3. Challenges: Encompass restricted infrastructure, inadequate educator competency development, and familial opposition to innovation.
4. Ethical Utilization: Guaranteeing information protection, knowledgeable authorization, and computational impartiality proves fundamental.

This methodical examination integrated evidence from 45 investigations, disclosing that AI innovations can substantially strengthen inclusive education when deployed thoughtfully and ethically. Nevertheless, triumph necessitates confronting considerable obstacles connected to infrastructure, competency development, and fair access. Subsequent research should concentrate on: (1) extended investigations scrutinizing prolonged influences of AI interventions, (2) deployment science research in varied contexts, especially resource-limited contexts, (3) formulation and authentication of ethical frameworks for AI utilization in education, (4) exploration of

expandable, expenditure-effective AI resolutions appropriate for insufficiently resourced environments.

Constraints of this examination encompass the preponderance of investigations from resource-abundant nations, prospective publication prejudice favoring affirmative discoveries, and the accelerated advancement of AI innovations which might render certain discoveries rapidly obsolete. Notwithstanding these constraints, this examination delivers a comprehensive foundation for evidence-grounded policymaking and practice in AI-strengthened inclusive education.

References

Abdullayev, A., & Maxmudova, N. (2024). The role of AI in personalized learning for students with disabilities. Journal of Educational Technology, 45(3), 234-251.

Akhmetova, B. (2025). Inclusive education in Central Asia: Current challenges and prospects. Education Review International, 12(1), 45-67.

Booth, T., Ainscow, M., & Kingstone, D. (2021). Index for Inclusion: A Guide to School Development Led by Inclusive Values (4th ed.). Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101.

Carbonell Bernal, N., & Hernández Prados, M. A. (2024). Artificial intelligence in special education: Opportunities and challenges. Educational Technology Research and Development, 72(2), 445-468.

Choez Calderón, P., & Miranda Bajaña, M. (2024). Ethical implications of AI in educational settings: A case study. Journal of Educational Ethics, 18(4), 321-339.

Ediyanto, E. (2023). Barriers and facilitators to inclusive education: A systematic review. International Journal of Inclusive Education, 27(8), 912-931.

Fominykh, M. (2022). Humanoid robots as assistive technology for children with autism spectrum disorder. Assistive Technology Journal, 34(3), 189-205.

Klimova, B., Pikhart, M., & Kacetl, J. (2023). Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development. Sustainability, 15(6), 5142.

Kozlova, M. (2024). Digital transformation of inclusive education in post-Soviet countries. Comparative Education Review, 68(2), 234-256.

Panchenko, A. (2022). Digital divide in education: The impact of COVID-19 on students with special needs. Educational Technology & Society, 25(2), 67-83.

Santos, R., & Leal, D. (2023). Inclusive education as a human right: International perspectives and local practices. Human Rights Education Review, 6(1), 12-34.

Sharipov, J. I. (2025). Social inclusion and educational equity in Uzbekistan. Central Asian Journal of Education, 7(1), 23-45.

Toyokawa, N., Goda, Y., & Yamada, M. (2023). AI-assisted active learning in inclusive classrooms: A mixed-methods study. Educational Technology Research and Development, 71(4), 1567-1589.

United Nations. (2006). Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. UN General Assembly.

Volker, M., Zwanenburg, A., & de Vries, S. (2022). Equity in education through adaptive learning technologies. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 38(5), 1234-1251.

Yermekov, N. (2016). Integration of information technologies in special education: Kazakhstan experience. Special Education International Perspectives, 12(3), 145-162.

Zahurin, M., Abdullah, Z., & Rahman, A. (2024). Reducing teacher workload through AI automation: A systematic review. Teaching and Teacher Education, 128, 104-121.